UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,039	05/05/2004	Paul Fredrick Luther Weindorf	10541-1998	7760
29074 7590 08/01/2008 VISTEON/BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE		EXAMINER		
524 South Main Street Suite 200 Ann Arbor, MI 48104			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/840,039	LUTHER WEINDORF, PAUL FREDRICK			
omec Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonid Shapiro	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	a <u>y 2008</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-15,17-28 and 30-41 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-15,17-28,30-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the orect Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/840,039 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Objections

Claims 19,27 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims
 19,27 depend on canceled claim 16. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,3-15,17-28,30-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masami (JP 2001-312249).

As to claim 1, Masami teaches a system to compensate for luminance degradation of a display (Problem to be solved), the system comprising:

a controller coupled to the display and configured to provide power to the display thereby controlling the display luminance (Drawing 1, item 16, paragraph 0005); and

a temperature sensor proximate the display (Drawing 1, item 14, paragraph 0005) and in electrical communication with the controller, wherein the controller is configured to vary the display luminance, based on a temperature measured by the temperature sensor wherein the controller is configured to decrease the display luminance as the temperature of the display increases through a first

Art Unit: 2629

temperature range until the temperature reaches an upper temperature threshold (Drawing 1, items 12,14,16, paragraph 0005, Solution).

As to claim 3,6-8,17,20-22,30,33-35 Masami teaches the controller is configured to decrease (increase) the display luminance as the temperature of the display is decrease (increase) (paragraph 0005, Solution).

As to claim 15, Masami teaches a method for compensating luminance degradation of a OLED display (Problem to be solved), the system comprising: providing power to (Drawing 1, item 16, paragraph 0005);

varying luminance of the OLED display based on temperature of the OLED display and decreasing the display luminance as the temperature of the display increases through a first temperature range until the temperature reaches an upper temperature threshold (Drawing 1, items 12,14,16, paragraph 0005, Solution).

As to claim 28, Masami teaches a system to compensate for luminance degradation of an OLED display (Problem to be solved), the system comprising:

a controller coupled to the display and configured to provide power to the OLED display thereby controlling the display luminance (Drawing 1, item 16, paragraph 0005); and

a temperature sensor proximate the OLED display (Drawing 1, item 14, paragraph 0005) and in electrical communication with the controller, wherein the controller is configured to vary the display luminance, based on a temperature measured by the temperature sensor wherein the controller decrease the display luminance as the temperature of the display increases through a first temperature range

until the temperature reaches an upper temperature threshold (Drawing 1, items 12,14,16, paragraph 0005, Solution).

As to claims 9-10,13-14,23-24,27,35-37,40-41 Masami teaches degradation function (Drawing 3) and the controller is configured to vary the display luminance based on a transfer function having a linear term (in the reference (paragraph 0009) is equivalent to reducing the brightness of an LED component at a fixed rate).

It generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent of showing criticality of in a particular recited value. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to reduce the luminance 50% of the full power luminance at between 80 and 90 degree. Such a limitation would have been considered as obvious variation on the matter of selected luminance which fails patentably distinguish over the prior art of Bowman et al. and Jates et al. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

As to claims 4,11,18,25,31,38 Masami teaches the controller is configured to vary the display luminance based on a transfer function having a linear term (in the reference (paragraph 0009) is equivalent to reducing the brightness of an LED component at a fixed rate) (Drawings 3-4, paragraphs 0006-0009).

As to claims 5,12,19,26,32,39 Masami teaches the controller is configured to vary the display luminance based on a transfer function having a linear term (in the reference (paragraph 0009) is equivalent to reducing the brightness of an LED component at a fixed rate) which will satisfy following relationship Lop = m*Tk+ b, where

Lop is the display luminance, m is a gain, TK is the temperature of the display, and b is an offset (Drawings 3-4, paragraphs 0006-0009).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/05/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

On page 10, last paragraph of Remark, Applicant's stated that Masami does not teach decreasing the display luminance as the temperature of the display increases as recited in independent claims 1,15, and 28. However, Masami teaches the controller is configured to vary the display luminance, based on a temperature measured by the temperature sensor wherein the controller is configured to decrease the display luminance as the temperature of the display increases through a first temperature range (Drawing 1, items 12,14,16, paragraph 0005, Solution) and Applicant's admitted that on page 11, 2nd paragraph of Remark: Applicant submits that Masami reduces the driver signal at a fixed rate with respect to time".

Notice, that any reduction in power must be done with respect to time (figs. 3-4,7-9 in Applicant's disclosure).

Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/840,039 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 07.21.08

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629